

Michael N. Githaiga\*, Linda Gilpin, James G. Kairo and Mark Huxham

# Biomass and productivity of seagrasses in Africa

DOI 10.1515/bot-2015-0075

Received 5 September, 2015; accepted 26 April, 2016; online first 25 May, 2016

**Abstract:** There is growing interest in carbon stocks and flows in seagrass ecosystems, but recent global reviews suggest a paucity of studies from Africa. This paper reviews work on seagrass productivity, biomass and sediment carbon in Africa. Most work was conducted in East Africa with a major geographical gap in West Africa. The mean above-ground, below-ground and total biomasses from all studies were 174.4, 474.6 and 514 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively with a global range of 461–738 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>. Mean annual production rate was 913 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (global range 816–1012 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>). No studies were found giving sediment organic carbon, demonstrating a major gap in seagrass blue carbon work. Given the small numbers of relevant papers and the large geographical areas left undescribed in Africa, any conclusions remain tentative and much remains to be done on seagrass studies in Africa.

**Keywords:** Africa; blue carbon; productivity; seagrasses.

## Introduction

Understanding the role of vegetated coastal ecosystems in global carbon dynamics is a field of growing interest as knowledge of natural carbon sinks and flows can contribute to effective management of human impacts on the climate. Currently, our understanding of the roles of different ecosystems in the global carbon budget is limited by uncertainty about, and ignorance of, both individual ecosystems and their ecological connectivity. Vegetated coastal ecosystems that, in the past, have been relatively neglected have more

recently received considerable attention following the “blue carbon” initiative, which established a clear distinction between the aquatic and terrestrial organic carbon sinks and helped to highlight the high relative efficiency of vegetated coastal sinks (Nellemann et al. 2009, <http://thebluecarboninitiative.org>). Of the three key “blue carbon” habitats – salt marsh, mangrove and seagrass meadows – seagrasses are the most extensive but least studied. Available reviews of seagrass biomass and carbon flows globally (Duarte and Chiscano 1999, Fourqurean et al. 2012) reveal that the majority of studies have been done in Western Europe, the Mediterranean, the Caribbean, Australia and the American coasts. This is an indication of the relative paucity of information about seagrasses in African waters. Globally, seagrass ecosystems are estimated to store as much as 19.9 Pg of organic carbon and the oceans may bury an estimated 27.4 Tg C year<sup>-1</sup> in seagrass meadows (Fourqurean et al. 2012). The average standing stock of seagrass is estimated at 460 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> while the average production is 5.0 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (Duarte and Chiscano 1999). As these figures have been derived without much contribution from seagrass studies in Africa, estimates of the global seagrass carbon budget may change substantially if sequestration and storage rates in African systems are distinctive. Bearing in mind that seagrasses host a high species diversity globally (Short et al. 2007) and the fact that the role of seagrasses in carbon fluxes is acknowledged (Mateo et al. 2006), there is a need to understand variation in biomass and carbon storage across species and sites. The aim of the present study was to carry out a comprehensive assessment of all accessible literature on African seagrass species, to establish the current knowledge on biomass stocks and productivity, and to identify the geographic distribution of these data around Africa.

## Materials and methods

Both the primary and gray literature were used. Four search engines – Google Scholar, Yahoo, Science Direct and ISI Web of Science – were used when looking for any available information on seagrass biomass and productivity studies in Africa up to the end of the year 2015. In addition, manual searches from libraries were done especially for the gray literature. Several researchers thought to have been involved in seagrass biomass and carbon studies in Africa were contacted to provide any available

\*Corresponding author: Michael N. Githaiga, Marine Ecology and Environmental Programme, Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, P.O. Box 81651, Mombasa, Mombasa City, Kenya; and School of Life, Sport and Social Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, EH11 4BN, Edinburgh, UK, e-mail: njoroge.michael04@gmail.com.  
<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7890-1819>

Linda Gilpin and Mark Huxham: School of Life, Sport and Social Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, EH11 4BN, Edinburgh, UK  
James G. Kairo: Marine Ecology and Environmental Programme, Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, P.O. Box 81651, Mombasa, Mombasa City, Kenya

information. The search terms used were “seagrass” in combination with one of the following: “above-ground biomass”, “below-ground biomass”, “biomass stocks”, “carbon burial”, “productivity”, “Africa”, “target seagrass species” and “names of countries” along the African coasts. Where data on biomass and productivity were given as a range with no means reported, the mid-point was taken as an estimate of the mean from that study. In some cases, relevant information was not given in the text but could be reliably estimated from the figures. Data on biomass and productivity rates for different species at different sites were investigated and summarised.


## Results

Of the over 300 abstracts initially found, 32 papers and eight reports or theses gave information on biomass

and/or productivity in Africa. Of these, 25 reported on seagrass biomass stocks alone while 15 reported entirely on productivity or a combination of biomass stocks and productivity. Six reports or theses were on biomass stocks and three on productivity, though one thesis reported on both biomass and productivity (Table 1). These peer reviewed papers, together with the reports and theses, come from studies carried out primarily on the Western Indian Ocean (WIO) coastline, especially in Kenya (Gazi Bay and around Mombasa), Tanzania (sites around Zanzibar Island), Mozambique (Inhaca Island), Aldabra Island in the Seychelles Republic, Mauritius and along the coast of South Africa. Other studies have been conducted at Sharm El-Moyia Bay along the Red Sea coastline of Egypt, Banc d’Arguin in N.W Mauritania and at some bays and lagoons such as Ghar El Melh Lagoon in Northern Tunisia and at Montazah Bay of Egypt on the southern Mediterranean Sea (Figure 1). Some studies

**Table 1:** Published papers, reports/theses on seagrass biomass and productivity studies around Africa.

Country	Biomass stocks		Productivity	
	Papers	Reports/theses	Papers	Reports/theses
Algeria			Semroud et al. 1990	
Egypt	Gab-Alla 2001 Mostafa 1996			
Kenya	Duarte et al. 1998 Ochieng and Erftemeijer 1999 Kamermans et al. 2002 Ochieng and Erftemeijer 2003 Uku and Björk 2005	Gwada 2004	Duarte et al. 1996 Hemminga et al. 1995 Ochieng and Erftemeijer 1999 Uku and Björk 2005	Ochieng 1995
Libya			Pergent et al. 2002	
Mauritania	van der Laan and Wolff 2006 Vermaat et al. 1993		Vermaat et al. 1993 Van Lent et al. 1991	
Mauritius	Daby 2003			
Morocco		Bououarour et al. 2015 Boutahar et al. 2015		
Mozambique	Bandeira 1997 Bandeira 2002 de Boer 2000 Martins and Bandeira 2001 Paula et al. 2001	Larsson 2009	Bandeira 2002 de Boer 2000	Bandeira 2000 Larsson 2009
Seychelles	Aleem 1984			
South Africa	Adams and Talbot 1992 Christie 1981 Hanekom and Baird 1988 Talbot and Bate 1987	Grindley 1976		
Tanzania	Eklöf et al. 2005 Gullström et al. 2006 Kamermans et al. 2002 Lugendo et al. 2001 Lyimo et al. 2006 Lyimo et al. 2008	Mvungi 2011	Lyimo et al. 2006	
Tunisia	Sghaier et al. 2011 Sghaier 2012		Sghaier 2012	



**Figure 1:** Sites along the coastline of the African continent where seagrasses biomass and productivity have been studied.

(unpublished) have recently been reported from Marcha Bay, Jbel Moussa Bay and the Atlantic coast of Morocco (Table 2). Data were available for 14 species, with biomass data available for 13 species (Table 2), while data on seagrass productivity were available for 10 species (Table 3). Most of the seagrass biomass studies considered mixed stands, but *Thalassodendron ciliatum* and *Thalassia hemprichii* were the most widely studied individual species, each having been a subject of research in nine out of the 35 locations where biomass studies were reported and in five and six locations, respectively, out of the 18 locations for productivity studies. *Halodule wrightii*, *Cymodocea rotundata*, *Halophila stipulaceae* and *Halodule uninervis* have been studied for biomass stocks in only one location each. Similarly, with the exception of *T. hemprichii* and *T. ciliatum*, a majority of the other species reported in productivity research were studied in only one location (Table 3). *Thalassodendron ciliatum* was the only species reported to have been studied for all the productivity indices (Table 3). Larger seagrass species such

as *T. hemprichii* and *T. ciliatum* recorded the highest per unit area biomass while smaller species, such as *H. wrightii*, recorded the lowest biomass. There was a large range in biomass between the highest and lowest species (Figure 2). The highest number of published biomass and productivity studies in Africa were carried out between 1996 and 2010 accounting for 65.6% of the total, while 62.5% of these, reports or articles (unpublished or currently under peer review) have emerged between 2010 and 2015 (Figure 3).

## Biomass of seagrasses in Africa

We obtained 47 data sets for both the above- and below-ground biomass and 73 for total biomass contained within the 32 papers and eight reports or theses (Table 1). The total and the above-ground biomass data were each reported in 21 of the 40 papers, reports and theses while below-ground biomass was reported in 15 of those papers,

Table 2: Mean ( $\pm$ S.E) values for above-ground, below-ground and total biomass reported for different seagrass species at sites around Africa.

Country	Location	Latitude & Longitude	Species	Above-ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Below-ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Total biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Reference
Egypt	Montazah Bay	31° 12' N, 29° 55' E	<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i>	287			Mostafa 1996
	Sharm El Moyia Bay	27° 9' N, 34° 3' E	<i>Halophila stipitatae</i>			270	Gab-Alla 2001
	Galu	4° 18' S, 39° 32' E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>			40.6 $\pm$ 40.6	Uku et al. 1996
	Diani	4° 18' S, 10° 32' E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>			279.3 $\pm$ 97.6	Uku et al. 1996
	Diani	4° 18' S, 10° 32' E	Mixed			430 (33)	Kamermans et al. 2002
Kenya	Gazi	4° 25' S, 39° 30' E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>	316.1 $\pm$ 41	368.1 $\pm$ 22	725.5 $\pm$ 252.5	Ochieng and Erfemeijer 2003
	Chale lagoon	4° 25' S, 39° 30' E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>		243.4		Duarte et al. 1998
	Mombasa	4° 2' S, 39° 41' E	Mixed			471.6 $\pm$ 66.7	Kamermans et al. 2002
	Roka	1° 36' S, 39° 12' E	Mixed			644 (7)	Kamermans et al. 2002
	Mombasa Marine Park	4° 2' S, 39° 41' E	Mixed			760 $\pm$ 96	Ochieng and Erfemeijer 1999
Mauritania	Nyali	4° 03' S, 39° 43' E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i> —north	277.4 $\pm$ 36.3	364.9 $\pm$ 83.5		Gwada 2004
			East monsoon				
			<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i> —south	269.5 $\pm$ 65	312.0 $\pm$ 123		
			East monsoon				
			Mixed			604 (33)	Kamermans et al. 2002
Mauritius	Kenyatta	4° 00' S, 39° 44' E	Mixed			233 (33)	
	Watumu	3° 23' S, 39° 59' E	Mixed			457 (33)	
	Banc d'Arguin	20° 35' N, 16° 15' W	Mixed		255.0		Vermaat et al. 1993
			Mixed			335	van der Laan and Wolffe 2006
						129.3	Daby 2003
Morocco	Mon Choisy Bay	20° 17' S, 57° 33' W	<i>Syringodium isoetifolium</i>			102.5	
			<i>Halophila ovalis</i>				
	Marcha lagoon	40° 39' N, 8° 48' W	<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i>	8.02–61.2	10.8–235		Boutahar et al. 2015
	Atlantic coast	23° 30' N, 15° 56' W	<i>Zostera noltii</i>	32–259	21–314		Bououarour et al. 2015
	Jbel Moussa Bay	30° 8' N, 5° 21' W	<i>Zostera noltii</i>	3.08 $\pm$ 1.12			
Mozambique	Inhaca	25° 58' S, 32° 55' E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>	355.2 $\pm$ 111.1	792.4 $\pm$ 342.9	7.72 $\pm$ 1.38	Bandeira 1997
			<i>Zostera capensis</i> (summer)	15.7 $\pm$ 4.5	173.4 $\pm$ 47.5	1148 (30)	de Boer 2000
			<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i> (summer)	34.1 $\pm$ 18.6	38.6 $\pm$ 14.0	190 $\pm$ 51.2 (10)	
			<i>Halodule wrightii</i> (summer)	16.0 $\pm$ 22.2	17.1 $\pm$ 14.5	82.0 $\pm$ 30.8 (10)	
			<i>Zostera capensis</i> (winter)	25.7 $\pm$ 8.0	198.9 $\pm$ 75	22.2 $\pm$ 21.7 (10)	
			<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i> (winter)	17.6 $\pm$ 15.2	27.0 $\pm$ 14.4	219.5 $\pm$ 78.1 (10)	
			<i>Halodule wrightii</i> (winter)	6.9 $\pm$ 5.5	18.1 $\pm$ 6.5	43.1 $\pm$ 21.8 (10)	
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	154.4 $\pm$ 22.7	633.0 $\pm$ 163.5	22.9 $\pm$ 8.2 (10)	Martins and Bandeira 2001
			<i>Halodule wrightii</i>			787.4 $\pm$ 233.8	
			<i>Halophila ovalis</i>			30.7 $\pm$ 11.9	
Inhaca (Southern Bay)			<i>Zostera capensis</i>			0.6 $\pm$ 0.4	
			<i>Cymodocea rotundata</i>			4.8 $\pm$ 2	
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>			39.9 $\pm$ 18.7	
				147.1 $\pm$ 68.65	1729.7 $\pm$ 495.25	1876 $\pm$ 389.4	

Table 2 (continued)

Country	Location	Latitude & Longitude	Species	Above-ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Below-ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Total biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Reference
			<i>Halodule wrightii</i>			0.9±0.7	
			<i>Halophila ovalis</i>			0±0	
			<i>Zostera capensis</i>			0±0	
			<i>Cymodocea rotundata</i>			4.5±4.3	
		25° 58'S, 32° 55'E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>	50.1–170.7	0.04–1471.1		Paula et al. 2001
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	14.2–291.1	9.21–1307.6		
			<i>Zostera capensis</i>	7.9–51.3	66.0–195.5		
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	49.8±3.1			
Seychelles	Inhaca	25° 58'S, 32° 55'E	<i>Halodule uninervis</i>			243	Larsson 2009
	Aldabra Island	9° 41'S, 46° 42'E	<i>Halophila ovalis</i>			46.5	Aleem 1984
			Mixed species			425	
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>			412.5	
			<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>			468	
			<i>Syringodium isoetifolium</i>			435	
South Africa	Knysna estuary	34° 05'S, 23° 21'E	<i>Zostera capensis</i>	206			Grindley 1976
	Langebaan lagoon	33° 01'S, 18° 01'E	<i>Zostera capensis</i>	217			Christie 1981
	Swartkops estuary	33° 52'S, 25° 38'E	<i>Zostera capensis</i>			75.8–124.7	Talbot and Bate 1987
	Kromme Estuary	34° 09'S, 24° 51'E	<i>Zostera capensis</i> (winter 1979)	105±44			Hanekom and Baird 1988
			<i>Zostera capensis</i> (summer 1980)	55±21			
Tanzania	Chwaka	6° 10'S, 39° 26'E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	897.2±754.8	–	–	Kamermans et al. 2002
	Chwaka	6° 10'S, 39° 26'E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>			85	Eklöf et al. 2005
			<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>			100	
			<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>			90	
			Mixed	62–105			
			<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>	76.4–105.1 (20)			
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	61.8–99.1 (20)			
			Mixed	94.5 (20)			
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	90.4±16.1 (5)	185±32.9 (5)	276±48.7 (5)	Lyimo et al. 2006
	Jambiani	6° 6'S, 39° 32'E (With Seaweed)					
		(Non-Seaweed)					
		6° 10'S, 39° 26'E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	609±71.5 (5)	2455±726 (5)	3063±715 (5)	
	Chwaka	6° 10'S, 39° 26'E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	108±23.8 (5)	179±57.9 (5)	286±81.5 (5)	
		(With Seaweed)					
		(Non-Seaweed)					
		(With Seaweed)	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	175±19.0 (5)	220±3.4 (5)	393±18.7 (5)	
		(Non-Seaweed)					
		(With Seaweed)	<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>	177±85.5 (8)	563±272 (8)	740±358 (8)	
		(Non-Seaweed)					
		(With Seaweed)	<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>	199±54.5 (8)	415±114 (8)	614±98.9 (8)	
		(Non-Seaweed)					
		6° 13'S, 39° 28'E (With Seaweed)	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	465±183 (5)	90.4±129 (5)	1369±266 (5)	

Table 2 (continued)

Country	Location	Latitude & Longitude	Species	Above-ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Below-ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Total biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> )	Reference
Tunisia	Chwaka	(Non-Sea-weed)	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	301±42.1 (5)	442±66.9 (5)	742±81 (5)	Lyimo et al. 2008
		(With Sea-weed)	<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>	144±63.0 (8)	810±356 (8)	953±418 (8)	
		(Non-Sea-weed)	<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>	143±57.5 (8)	512±207 (8)	655±264 (8)	
	Jambiani	6° 10' S, 39° 26' E (With Sea-weed)	Mixed	142.4–1652			Lyimo et al. 2008
		(Non-Sea-weed)	Mixed	212.9–1829			
		6° 6' S, 39° 32' E (With Sea-weed)	Mixed	880.4–3467			
	Kunduchi & Ocean road	(Non-Sea-weed)	Mixed	203.4–3810			Lugendo et al. 2001
		6° 40' S, 39° 13' E	Mixed	0.25–135.29			
	Ocean road	6° 45' S, 39° 20' E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	307.0±74.9	412.1±93.3	115 (30)	Kamer-mans et al. 2002
			<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i>	202.7±69.6	267.7±147.9	224 (21)	
Mji-mwema		6° 38' S, 39° 40' E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	267.0±43.8	1177.4±265.2	327.7±86.1	Sghaier 2012
		<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i>	352.2±141.7	737.2±260.8			
Kiwengwa		5° 60' S, 39° 23' E	Mixed	97.3±51.4	264.7±69.2		Sghaier et al. 2011
		6° 11' S, 39° 32' E	Mixed	82.5±15.38	333.9±49.4	413.8±46	
Ghar El Melh Lagoon	37° 09' N, 10° 13' E	<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i>			79.75	Imen and Abdessalem 2015	
	Northern lagoon of Tunis	37° 14' N, 09° 56' E	<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i> <i>Zostera noltii</i>				

NEM, North East Monsoon; SEM, South East Monsoon. Value in parenthesis (n) where available represents the sample size. In some studies, the total biomass is not equal to the sum of the above-ground and the below-ground due to differences in the samples sizes but are captured as reported in the studies.

The four families of seagrass and species studied on biomass and productivity in Africa: Hydrocharitaceae [*Enhalus acoroides* (L.F) Royle, *Halophila minor* (Zoll.) den Hartog, *Halophila ovalis* (R.Br.) Hook f., *Halophila stipulacea* (Forsk.) Aschers and *Thalassia hemprichii* (Ehrenberg) Ascherson], Cymodoceae [*Cymodocea rotundata* Ehrenb. et Hempx. et Aschers, *Cymodocea serrulata* (R.Br.) Aschers. et Magnus, *Cymodocea nodosa* (Lucia) Aschers., *Halodule uninervis* (Forsk.) Aschers., *Halodule wrightii* Aschers., *Syringodium isoetifolium* (Aschers.) Dandy and *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Forsk.) den Hartog]; Zosteraceae (*Zostera capensis* Setchell, *Zostera noltii*); Posidonaceae [*Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile].

**Table 3:** Productivity values expressed as rates of leaf growth, leaf dry weight production, rhizome growth and total dry weight production for different seagrass species at sites around Africa.

Country	Location	Latitude & longitude	Species	Season	Leaf growth (mm shoot <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf production (g DW shoot <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Rhizome growth (mm day <sup>-1</sup> )	Total production (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
Algeria	Marsa	35° 51'N, 10°35'E	<i>Posidonia oceanica</i>				0.02		Semroud et al. 1990
Kenya	Tament foust								
	Gazi Bay	4° 25'S, 39°30'E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>				0.35		Hemminga et al. 1995
		4° 25'S, 39°30'E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>		20.7±0.8			4.43±2.7	Ochieng 1995
		4° 25'S, 39°30'E	Mixed species					2.4±0.6	Ochieng 1995
	Chale lagoon	4° 25'S, 39°30'E	<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>				0.4		Duarte et al. 1996
	Mombasa Marine park	4° 2'S, 39°41'E	Mixed species					8.2±2.8	Ochieng and Erfemeijer 1999
Nyali		4° 03'S, 39°43'E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	S.E	17.2±9.5	0.008±0.002		5.5±4.9 (30)	Uku and Björnk 2005
Libya									
	Farwa lagoon	33° 05'N, 11°44'E		N.E	14.9±1.8	0.002±0.0002		2.3±0.5 (30)	Pergent et al. 2002
	Banc d' Arguin	20° 35'N, 16°15'W	<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i>	S.E	10.0±9.1	0.001±0.0006		2.0±1.1 (30)	Van Lent et al. 1991
Mauritania				N.E	11.7±2.0	0.001±0.0005	0.02–0.1	1.9±1.0 (30)	
Mozambique									
	Inhaca Island	25° 58'S, 32°55'E	<i>Zostera noltii</i>		0.3				Vermaat et al. 1993
			<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>		14.1–18.3				Bandeira 1997
			<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>		7.5–9.5				Bandeira 2000
			Summer	0.7±1.4	0.03		0.18	de Boer 2000	

Table 3 (continued)

Country	Location	Latitude & longitude	Species	Season	Leaf growth (mm shoot <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf production (g DW shoot <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Rhizome growth (mm day <sup>-1</sup> )	Total production (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
South Africa	Kromme estuary	34° 09'S, 24° 51'E	<i>Zostera capensis</i>	Winter	0.6±1.1	0.02		0.18	
			<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i>	Summer	2.4±5.3	0.80		0.62	
	Marumbi	6° 13'S, 39° 28'E	<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i>	Winter	1.2±1.5	0.46		0.20	
			<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	Summer	1.5±3.8	0.14		0.20	
			<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	Winter	1.1±2.0	0.08		0.08	
					10.4±0.9	0.004	1.08±0.06	Larsson 2009	
Tanzania	Kromme estuary	34° 09'S, 24° 51'E	<i>Zostera capensis</i>					0.93–1.98	Hanekom and Baird 1988
	Marumbi	6° 13'S, 39° 28'E	<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>		13.4±4.7	0.004±0.002		1.97±0.89	Lyimo et al. 2006
Tunisia	Chwaka	6° 10'S, 39° 26'E	<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>		17.1±5.2	0.01±0.01		1.86±0.6	
					15.8±6.0	0.005±0.002		5.92±2.33	
	Ghar El Melh Lagoon	37° 09'N, 10° 13'E	<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i>		19.4±7.1	0.02±0.01		2.05±0.9	
					24.8±9.4	0.02±0.01		2.77±1.6	
Tabarka	36° 57'N, 8° 45'E	<i>Zostera noltii</i>		3.35 (21)		1.2±1 (21)	1.42 (20)	Sghaier et al. 2011	
El Kantaoui	35° 51'N, 10° 35'E	<i>Posidonia oceanica</i>				0.36		Sghaier et al. 2013	
							0.14		






Figure 2: Mean (±S.E) above-ground, below-ground and total biomass values for 13 seagrass species studied in Africa, pooled across all reported sites.

theses and reports. The total biomass for all species combined revealed large variation between sites (Table 2). The mean above- and below-ground biomasses for all species and across all sites were 174.4 and 474.6 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, representing an above- to below-ground biomass ratio of almost 1:3. The mean total biomass was 514.3 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>. This was calculated from the data available on total biomass and not necessarily from the sum of above-ground and below-ground biomass as some studies did not record either the above-ground or the below-ground biomass (Table 2). The highest total biomass was recorded for mixed seagrasses in a non-seaweed area at Jambiani in Zanzibar at 3063.3 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> whilst the lowest total biomass of 0.6 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> was recorded for *Halophila ovalis* at Northern Bay on Inhaca Island off Mozambique in the same study (Table 2). In terms of species, the highest biomass was recorded for *Thalassia hemprichii* at 1876 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> in Southern Bay of Inhaca Island, Mozambique (Table 2). Comparison of the means




Figure 3: Number of publications, reports/theses containing information on biomass and productivity of African seagrasses between 1976 and 2015.




Figure 4: Mean (±S.E) total biomass values for the seagrass species in different regions of Africa.

for the above-ground, below-ground and total biomasses for individual species reveal that the highest mean biomasses were found for *T. hemprichii* at 271.7 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, 817.8 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> and 928.0 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, while the lowest mean biomasses were for *Halodule wrightii* at 11.5 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, 17.6 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> and 19.2 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. In terms of the five regions where the seagrass data are available (Figure 4), the East African coast has the highest mean above-ground, below-ground and total biomass at 256.8, 587.1 and 778.1 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The South Mediterranean seagrasses had below-ground and above-ground biomasses of 299.3 and 155.6 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, while the South Africa and the WIO Islands had means of 413.3 and 95.7 g DW m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, for the same parameters. Data available from the North West African region show the lowest mean biomass for the three parameters with 61.06 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> for the above-ground biomass, 145.2 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> for the below-ground biomass and 159.4 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> for the total biomass (Figure 4).

## Productivity rates of seagrasses in Africa

This review obtained 29 data sets on leaf growth rates, 24 on leaf production, seven on rhizome growth rates and 32 on total production (Table 3). The mean leaf growth rate was 12.4 mm shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> while the mean leaf production was 0.07 g DW shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. Rhizome growth rates were 0.36 mm day<sup>-1</sup> while the mean total production was 2.5 g DW shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. Lyimo et al. (2006) studied growth characteristics of *Thalassia hemprichii* and *Enhalus acoroides* at several sites in Zanzibar, where high growth rates in terms of leaf length and dry weight were observed for both species. In another study, Uku and Björk (2005) recorded higher growth rates for the same parameters for *Thalassia hemprichii* as compared to *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Thalassodendron ciliatum* at Nyali and Vipingo, Mombasa, Kenya. In Gazi Bay, Kenya, Hemminga et al. (1995) reported total productivity for *T. ciliatum* that was much higher than reported from other sites (Table 3). In another study of a monospecific stand of *T. ciliatum* at Gazi Bay, Ochieng (1995) recorded a mean shoot growth rate of 20.7 mm day<sup>-1</sup> which was higher than the rate recorded in most of the other studies for the same species. The review for all species, whether growing in multispecific or pure stands, indicated that *Zostera capensis* and *Cymodocea serrulata* had the lowest shoot growth rates of <1 mm shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> recorded at Inhaca Island, Mozambique (de Boer, 2000). Some seasonality is indicated for *T. hemprichii* with a maximum of 28.5 mm shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during the North East monsoon and 17.2 mm shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during the South East monsoon at Nyali in Mombasa (Uku and Björk 2005). Daily leaf production also differed between sites and species with a maximum of 0.01 g DW shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for *T. hemprichii* recorded at Chwaka in Zanzibar (Lyimo

et al. 2006). Lowest daily leaf production was 0.001 g DW shoot<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for *Cymodocea rotundata* recorded at Vipingo in Mombasa (Uku and Björk 2005). The mean productivity rates for all species, where available, indicated that *T. hemprichii* had the highest total productivity rates while the lowest was in an eelgrass, *Zostera capensis* (Table 4). The mean leaf production per day for individual species was highest in *Cymodocea serrulata* while the lowest was in *C. rotundata*. Comparison of rhizome growth rates indicated highest rates in *Cymodocea nodosa* and lowest in *Posidonia oceanica*. The mean for total production was highest in mixed stands while the lowest was recorded in *Halophila ovalis* (Table 4).

## Discussion and conclusion

This assessment of studies on seagrass biomass stocks and productivity around Africa found a limited number of papers and reports with most of them reporting from countries on the Western Indian Ocean coastline (Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique, South Africa, Madagascar, Seychelles and Mauritius). A few studies have also been reported from the Red Sea coastline of Egypt, the north eastern part of the Atlantic coastline on the coast of Mauritania and Morocco and more recently some studies (unpublished), have emerged from the Mediterranean coastline of Tunisia. However, the limited number of studies demonstrates a paucity of information on the carbon budget and flows in Africa. Similar observations of a geographical bias in research on seagrass biomass stocks, with Africa particularly underrepresented, have been made in other reviews (Duarte and Chiscano 1999,

**Table 4:** Mean ( $\pm$ S.E) productivity values expressed as rates of leaf growth, leaf dry weight production, rhizome growth and total dry weight production for seagrass species based on all available data around the African coast.

Species	Leaf growth (mm shoot <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf production (g DW shoot <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Rhizome growth (mm day <sup>-1</sup> )	Total Production (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )
<i>Cymodocea nodosa</i>	3.35 $\pm$ 0		1.2 $\pm$ 0	0.71 $\pm$ 0.7
<i>Cymodocea rotundata</i>	12.35 $\pm$ 1.0	0.002 $\pm$ 0.001		2.08 $\pm$ 0.1
<i>Cymodocea serrulata</i>	1.8 $\pm$ 0.6	0.63 $\pm$ 0.17		0.41 $\pm$ 0.2
<i>Enhalus acoroides</i>	24.8 $\pm$ 0	0.02 $\pm$ 0		2.77 $\pm$ 0
<i>Halophila ovalis</i>	1.5 $\pm$ 0	0.14 $\pm$ 0		0.2 $\pm$ 0
<i>Posidonia oceanica</i>			0.19 $\pm$ 0.1	
<i>Thalassia hemprichii</i>	17.33 $\pm$ 1.6	0.007 $\pm$ 0.01		3.26 $\pm$ 0.6
<i>Thalassodendron ciliatum</i>	15.18 $\pm$ 1.6	0.05 $\pm$ 0.01	0.4 $\pm$ 0	3.90 $\pm$ 0.7
<i>Zostera capensis</i>	0.8 $\pm$ 0.2	0.04 $\pm$ 0.01		0.47 $\pm$ 0.3
<i>Zostera noltii</i>				0.004 $\pm$ 0
Mixed				5.3 $\pm$ 2.9

Fourqurean et al. 2012). Some of the seagrass studies in Africa concentrated on one biomass pool (above-ground or below-ground) while others focused on total biomass only (Table 2). An important observation in this review is that seagrass studies in Africa have ignored the sediment organic carbon, the most important part of the putative “blue carbon” sink provided by seagrasses, revealing a major gap in seagrass blue carbon work. Since the reviewed studies reported on only 14 out of a total of 34 species in the Tropical Atlantic, Tropical Indo-Pacific and South African flora, the current work suggests that the basic ecology, including productivity and standing stock, of many species remains largely unknown.

The available data from the seagrass biomass and productivity studies in Africa reveal that seagrasses allocate higher biomass to their below-ground than their above-ground components, with mean estimates for the above and below-ground biomasses of 174.4 g DW m<sup>2</sup> and 474.6 g DW m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. In a review of seagrass biomass from different studies globally, Duarte and Chiscano (1999) arrived at above- and below-ground mean biomasses of 223.9 g DW m<sup>2</sup> and 237.4 g DW m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. These findings differ from the results of this study in which the above-ground biomass was only ~37% of the biomass below-ground. Though these results deviate from our findings, our results are consistent with other observations, such as the most recent review of a global dataset, that the below-ground component of seagrasses forms the largest proportion of the living seagrass biomass and may constitute about two thirds of the total biomass in seagrass meadows (Fourqurean et al. 2012). The similarity of above-ground and below-ground biomass estimates in Duarte and Chiscano (1999) was attributed to the fact that some seagrass biomass studies did not measure the below-ground biomass, which in some cases could account for 15–50% of the total production as observed in an earlier study (Duarte et al. 1998). Though grazing and mechanical damage inflicted by wave scouring and by human activities may not significantly affect seagrass productivity and biomass storage, it nevertheless impacts on the meadows leading to high turnover rates especially for the above-ground component.

The mean estimate for total seagrass biomass in this review of 514.34 g DW m<sup>2</sup> is within the global range. The seagrasses of Abu Dhabi in the United Arab Emirates were estimated to contain a total biomass of 122.3 g DW m<sup>2</sup> (Campbell et al. 2014). In a review of global seagrass carbon storage, the *Posidonia oceanica* of the Mediterranean Sea were found to have the highest biomass at 2144 g DW m<sup>2</sup> while the mean biomass from the global seagrass data was estimated at 738.4 g DW m<sup>2</sup> (Fourqurean

et al. 2012). While this global estimate is higher than our total African biomass estimate, this could be explained by the influence of the high biomass of *Posidonia oceanica* in other regions as well as the limited information on seagrass biomass from Africa in previous global estimates. In terms of the five regions along the coasts of Africa where seagrass research has been done, this study observed that the East African seagrasses had the highest biomass at 738.1 g DW m<sup>2</sup> compared to 370.8 g DW m<sup>2</sup> for the Southern Mediterranean where *Cymodocea nodosa* was the dominant species. No study was found from this southern part of the Mediterranean Sea containing information for *Posidonia oceanica*.

The review observed that higher biomass values occurred in larger species compared to the smaller species (Figure 2). This may suggest that larger species tend to develop higher below-ground biomass and hence have a higher capacity for biomass storage due to the relatively slow turnover of the below-ground materials (Duarte and Chiscano 1999). The current assessment of available data from Africa on seagrass biomass supports this view.

The current review arrived at a mean total production estimate of 912.5 g DW m<sup>2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> against 1012 g DW m<sup>2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> obtained in a previous seagrass biomass and production reassessment using a global data set (Duarte and Chiscano 1999) and an earlier one of 816 g DW m<sup>2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Duarte and Cebrián 1996). Seagrass beds with mixed species were found to have the highest total production, estimated at 1935 g DW m<sup>2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, followed by *Thalassodendron ciliatum* at 1423 g DW m<sup>2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting that some species do better when in association with others. Growth patterns for different species and variation in environment between sites could account for the differences in values observed. Some species may have the potential to accumulate biomass but this may be kept low by resource limitation or due to the heavy losses caused by physical disturbance (Duarte and Chiscano 1999). Biomass and productivity for some seagrass species was reported to exhibit seasonality which could be attributed to periodical fluctuations in abiotic factors such as irradiance, temperature and hydrological conditions (Uku and Björk 2005, de Boer 2000).

The estimates arrived at in this study may involve considerable errors, given the general paucity of studies, particularly for some seagrass species, and a lack of uniformity in the sampling methods used by different researchers. However, with the development of the Blue Carbon sampling manual by the International Blue Carbon Initiatives Scientific Working Group (Howard et al. 2014, <http://thebluecarboninitiative.org>), and new emphasis on researchers adopting uniform sampling protocols, future research

should produce more reliable and comparable estimates. Whilst the research gap revealed here may be similar to many other areas in which Africa is under-represented, seagrasses perhaps present a particular challenge for research in countries with relatively poor infrastructure and resources, since they may require expensive sampling work utilising specialised skills such as scuba diving.

Considering that the African coastline is extensive with large areas of seagrass cover, the spatial extent of study is very limited. The fact that this review did not find seagrass biomass studies from the West African coast, with the exception of Mauritania which is more to the North West coast, is another clear indication of the paucity of knowledge on seagrass biomass stocks in Africa. A majority of the studies have been done on the West Indian Ocean coastline mainly through funding by the West Indian Ocean Marine Sciences Association (WIOMSA) in partnership with the well-established research Institutions in the region or through partnership with institutions outside Africa. This signifies the importance of strengthening collaboration between institutions and the need for increased research funding if the knowledge gaps are to be filled. As the first review of seagrass biomass and productivity in Africa, we hope the current work will generate interest among the scientific community by identifying an important and missed opportunity for research. By contributing to a better understanding of the role of seagrass ecosystems in carbon budgets in Africa this may help to support the protection of these valuable ecosystems.

**Acknowledgements:** This article is based on research undertaken for Coastal Ecosystem Services in East Africa (CESEA) NE/L001535/1 research project and was funded with support from the Ecosystem Services for Poverty Alleviation (ESPA) programme. The ESPA programme is funded by the Department for International Development (DFID), the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). Additional support was made through Edinburgh Napier University and Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI) to which we are grateful. Finally, we thank the three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments which improved the quality of the manuscript.

## References

Adams, J.B. and M.M.B. Talbot. 1992. The influence of river impoundment on the estuarine seagrass *Zostera capensis* Setchell. *Bot. Mar.* 35: 69–76.

- Aleem, A.A. 1984. Distribution and ecology of seagrass communities. *Deep Sea Res.* 31: 919–933.
- Bandeira, S.O. 1997. Dynamics, biomass and total rhizome length of the seagrass *Thalassodendron ciliatum* at Inhaca Island, Mozambique. *Plant Ecol.* 130: 133–141.
- Bandeira, S.O. 2000. High production rates of the seagrass *Thalassodendron ciliatum* from the rocky and sandy habitats in Southern Mozambique: a comparative study In: (*Diversity and Ecology of Seagrasses in Mozambique*): emphasis on *Thalassodendron ciliatum*. structure, dynamics, nutrients and genetic variability. Ph.D. thesis. Göteborg. University, Sweden. pp. 18.
- Bandeira, S.O. 2002. Leaf production rates of *Thalassodendron ciliatum* from rocky and sandy habitats. *Aquat. Bot.* 72: 13–24.
- Bououarour, O., R. El Kamcha, L. Boutahar, A. Tnoui, Z. Bendahhou, A. Benhoussa and B. Hocein. 2015. In: (Mediterranean Seagrass Workshop). *Spatial patterns of the Zostera noltii meadows across the Atlantic coast of Morocco: is there a latitudinal gradient?*-Sardinia, Italy. pp. 81.
- Boutahar, L., Y.S. Ramzi, O. Atef, R. El Kamcha, A. Benhoussa, E. Ostale and H. Bazairi. 2015. In: (Mediterranean Seagrass Workshop). *First data on biomass and abundance of two eelgrass (Zostera marina) meadows south to the strait of Gibraltar Jbel Moussa, Morocco.* Sardinia, Italy. pp. 109.
- Campbell, J.E., E.A. Lacey, R.A. Decker, S. Crooks and J.W. Fourqurean. 2014. Carbon storage in Seagrass Beds of Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *Estuar. Coast.* 38: 242–251.
- Christie, N.D. 1981. Primary production in the Langebaan Lagoon. In: (J.H. Day. ed.). *Estuarine ecology with particular reference to Southern Africa.* Cape Town, Balkema. pp. 101–115.
- Daby, D. 2003. Effects of seagrass bed removal for tourism purposes in a Mauritian Bay. *Environ. Pollut.* 125: 313–324.
- de Boer, W.F. 2000. Biomass dynamics of seagrasses and the role of mangrove and seagrass vegetation as different nutrient sources for an intertidal ecosystem. *Aquat. Bot.* 66: 225–239.
- Duarte, C.M. M.A. Hemminga, N. Marba. 1996. Growth and population dynamics of *Thalassodendron ciliatum* in a Kenyan back-reef lagoon. *Aquat. Bot.* 55: 1–11.
- Duarte, C.M. and J. Cebrián. 1996. The fate of marine autotrophic production. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 41: 1758–1766.
- Duarte, C. and C. Chiscano. 1999. Seagrass biomass and production: a reassessment. *Aquat. Bot.* 65: 159–174.
- Duarte, C., M.M. Merino, N.S.R. Agawin, J. Uri, M.D. Fortes, M.E. Gallegos, N. Marba and M.A. Hemminga. 1998. Root production and below-ground seagrass biomass. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 171: 97–108.
- Eklöf, J.S., M. de la Torre Castro, L. Adelsköld, N.S. Jiddawi and N. Kautsky. 2005. Differences in macrofaunal and seagrass assemblages in seagrass beds with and without seaweed farms. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.* 63: 385–396.
- Fourqurean, J.W., C.M. Duarte, H. Kennedy, M. Marbà, M. Holmer, M.A. Mateo and D. Krause-jensen. 2012. Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon store. *Nat. Geo.* 5: 1–5.
- Gab-Alla, A. 2001. Ecological Status of the Seagrass Community in Sharm El-Moyia Bay (Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea) after Oil Pollution in 1999. *Mar. Sci.* 12: 231–239.
- Grindley, J.R. 1976. Report on ecology of Knysna Estuary and proposed Braamekraal Marina. (Internal Report). School of Environmental Studies, University of Cape Town, South Africa. pp. 133.
- Gullström, M., B. Lundén, M. Bodin, J. Kangwe, M.C. Öhman, M.S.P. Mtolera and M. Björk. 2006. Assessment of changes in the

- seagrass-dominated submerged vegetation of tropical Chwaka Bay (Zanzibar) using satellite remote sensing. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.* 67: 399–408.
- Gullström, M., M. Bodin, P.G. Nilsson and M.C. Öhman. 2008. Seagrass structural complexity and landscape configuration as determinants of tropical fish assemblage composition. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 363: 241–255.
- Gwada, P. 2004. *An assessment of seagrass survival and functioning in response to manipulations in sediment redox at Nyali Lagoon*, Mombasa, Kenya. WIOMSA report. MARG-1. GRANTEE 2001/2002.
- Hanekom, N. and D. Baird. 1988. Distribution and variations in seasonal biomass of eelgrass, *Zostera capensis* in the Kromme estuary, St Francis Bay South Africa. *S. Afr. J. Mar. Sci.* 7: 51–59.
- Hemminga, M.A., P. Gwada, F.J. Slim, P. de Koeyer and J. Kazungu. 1995. Leaf production and nutrient contents of the seagrass *Thalassodendron ciliatum* in the proximity of a mangrove forest (Gazi Bay, Kenya). *Aquat. Bot.* 50: 159–170.
- Howard, J., S. Hoyt, K. Isensee, M. Telszewski and E. Pidgeon (eds.). 2014. Coastal Blue Carbon Methods for assessing carbon stocks and emission factors in mangroves, tidal salt marshes and seagrasses. Conservation International, Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, International Union for Conservation of Nature, Arlington, Virginia, USA.
- Imen, B.S. and S Abdessalem. 2015. In: (Mediterranean Seagrass Workshop). *Distribution, biomass and phenology of Zostera noltii meadows in the Northern lagoon of Tunis in the summer of 2014*. Sardinia, Italy. pp. 80.
- Kamermans, P., M.A. Hemminga, J.F.Tack, M.A. Mateo, N. Marbà, M. Mtolera and Daele, T. Van. 2002. Groundwater effects on diversity and abundance of lagoonal seagrasses in Kenya and on Zanzibar Island (East Africa). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 231: 75–83.
- Larsson, S. 2009. *The Production of the Seagrass Thalassia hemprichii in relation to epiphytic biomass*. M.Sc. thesis (unpublished). Göteborg. University, Sweden. pp. 12.
- Lugendo, B.R., Y. Mgaya and A. Semesi. 2001. The seagrass and associated macroalgae at selected beaches along Dar es Salaam coast. In: (M.D. Richmond and J. Francis, eds.) *Marine science development in Tanzania and East Africa*. WIOMSA Books Series. pp. 359–374.
- Lyimo, T.J., E.F. Mvungi, C. Lugomela and M. Björk. 2006. Seagrass biomass and productivity in Seaweed and Non-Seaweed Farming areas in the East Coast of Zanzibar. *WIO J. Mar. Sci.*, 5: 141–152.
- Lyimo, T., E. Mvungi and Y. Mgaya. 2008. Abundance and diversity of seagrass and macrofauna in the intertidal areas with and without seaweed farming activities in the east coast of Zanzibar. *Tanz. J. Sci.* 34: 41–52.
- Martins, A. and S. Bandeira. 2001. Biomass distribution and leaf nutrient concentrations and resorption of *Thalassia hemprichii* at Inhaca Island, Mozambique. *Afr. J. Bot.* 67: 439–442.
- Mateo M.A., J. Cebrian, K. Dunton and T. Mutchler. 2006. Carbon flux in seagrass ecosystem. In: (A. Larkum, R. Orth and C. Duarte, eds.) *Seagrass biology, ecology and conservation*. Springer-Verlag, Netherlands. pp. 159–192.
- Mostafa, H.M. 1996. Preliminary observations of the seagrass *Cymodocea nodosa* (Ucria) Ascherson in the Mediterranean waters of Alexandria, Egypt. *Bull. Natl Inst. Ocea. Fish.* 22: 19–28.
- Mvungi, E.F. 2011. *Seagrasses and eutrophication Interactions between seagrass photosynthesis*. Ph.D thesis (unpublished). Stockholm University, Sweden. pp. 44.
- Nellemann, C., E. Corcoran, C.M. Duarte, L. Valdes, C. De Young, L. Fonseca and G. Grimsditch. (eds). 2009. *Blue carbon. A rapid response assessment*. GRID-Arendal. United Nations Environmental Programme. ISBN: 978-82-7701-060-1.
- Ochieng, C.A. 1995. Productivity of seagrasses with respect to inter-system fluxes Gazi Bay (Kenya). In: *Interlinkages between Eastern African Coastal Ecosystems*. Contract No. T53-CT92-0114. Final report. pp. 82–86.
- Ochieng, C.A. and P.L. Erftemeijer. 1999. Accumulation of seagrass beach cast along the Kenyan coast: a quantitative assessment. *Aquat. Bot.* 65: 221–238.
- Ochieng, C.A. and P.L. Erftemeijer. 2003. Seagrasses of Tanzania and Kenya. In: (E. Green and F. Short, eds.) *World atlas of seagrasses*. World Conservation Monitoring Centre. University of California Press, USA, Berkely. pp. 93–100.
- Paula, J., P.F. Ecosta, A. Martins and D. Gove. 2001. Patterns of abundance of seagrasses and associated infaunal communities at Inhaca Island, Mozambique. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.* 53: 307–318.
- Pergent, G., A. Djellouli, A.A. Hamza, K.S. Ettayeb, A.A. El Mansouri, F.M. Talha and F. Platini. 2002. Characterization of the benthic vegetation in the Farwà Lagoon (Libya). *J. Coast. Cons.* 8: 119–126.
- Semroud, R., S. Mezegrane and L. Soltane. 1990. Etude lépidochronologique de *Posidonia oceanica* dans la région d'Alger (Algérie): données préliminaires. *Rapp. P.V. Réunion. Comm. Int. Explor. Sci. Médit.* 31: 10.
- Sghaier, Y.R. 2012. Seasonal variation of *Cymodocea nodosa* in the Ghar El Melh lagoon (Tunisia), with reference to insolation, temperature and salinity effects. *Bull. Inst. Natl. Sci. Tech. Mede. Salammbo.* 39: 117–125.
- Sghaier, Y.R., R. Zakhama-Sraieb and F. Charfi-Cheikhrouh. 2011. Primary production and biomass in a *Cymodocea nodosa* meadow in the Ghar El Melh lagoon, Tunisia. *Bot. Mar.* 54: 411–418.
- Sghaier, Y.R., R. Zakhama-Sraieb and F. Charfi-Cheikhrouha. 2013. Patterns of shallow seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) growth and flowering along the Tunisian coast. *Aquat. Bot.* 104: 185–192.
- Short, F., T. Carruthers, W. Dennison and M. Waycott. 2007. Global seagrass distribution and diversity: A bioregional model. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 350: 3–20.
- Talbot, M.M.B. and G.C. Bate. 1987. The distribution and biomass of the seagrass *Zostera capensis* in a warm-temperate estuary. *Bot. Mar.* 30: 91–99.
- Uku, J.N. and M. Björk. 2005. Productivity aspects of three tropical seagrass species in areas of different nutrient levels in Kenya. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.* 63: 407–420.
- Uku, J.N., E.E. Martens and K.M. Mavuti. 1996. *An ecological assessment of Littoral Seagrass Communities in Diani and Galu Coastal Beaches, Kenya*. M.Sc thesis (unpublished). University of Nairobi. pp. 185.
- van der Laan, B.B.P.A. and W.J. Wolff. 2006. Circular pools in the seagrass beds of the Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania, and their possible origin. *Aquat. Bot.* 84: 93–100.
- Van Lent, F., P.H. Nienhuis and J.M. Verschuure. 1991. Production and biomass of the seagrasses *Zostera noltii* Hornem and *Cymodocea nodosa* (Ucria) Aschers at the Banc-Darguin (Mauritania, N.W Africa). A preliminary approach. *Aquat. Bot.* 41: 353–367.

Vermaat, J.E., J.J. Beijer, R. Gijlstra, M.J.M. Hootsmans, C.J.M. Philippart., N.W. van den Brink and W. van Vierssen. 1993. Leaf dynamics and standing stocks of intertidal *Zostera noltii* Hornem. and *Cymodocea nodosa* (Ucria) Ascherson on the Banc d'Arguin (Mauritania). *Hydrobiologia*. 258: 59–72.

## Bionotes



### Michael N. Githaiga

Marine Ecology and Environmental Programme, Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, P.O. Box 81651, Mombasa, Mombasa Coast, Kenya; and School of Life, Sport and Social Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, EH11 4BN, Edinburgh, UK  
[njoroge.michael04@gmail.com](mailto:njoroge.michael04@gmail.com)

Michael N. Githaiga is a final year PhD student at Edinburgh Napier University. His PhD thesis is titled “Role of seagrass as carbon sinks, Gazi Bay, Kenya”. He graduated with a Bachelor of Education Science degree from Kenyatta University and later on a Master of Science degree (Plant Ecology) from the same University. His MSc research was titled “Structure and biomass accumulation of natural mangroves Forest of Gazi Bay, Kenya”. He has a great interest in marine ecology with a strong focus on carbon accounting in marine ecosystems.

### Linda Gilpin

School of Life, Sport and Social Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, EH11 4BN, Edinburgh, UK

Linda Gilpin is a lecturer in Aquatic Biology at Edinburgh Napier University. She was awarded a PhD in Biogeochemistry by Queen's

University Belfast, focusing on nitrogen metabolism and went on to study primary productivity and nutrient dynamics in a range of oceanic and coastal systems including the response of the microbial community to changes associated with eutrophication. More recent collaborations have involved the assessment of change in plankton communities.

### James G. Kairo

Marine Ecology and Environmental Programme, Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, P.O. Box 81651, Mombasa, Mombasa Coast, Kenya

James G. Kairo earned his PhD in Marine Sciences from the Free University of Brussels (VUB) in Belgium. He currently works as Principal Scientist with the Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, and is a member of both the International Blue Carbon Scientific Working Group and the newly launched Science for Blue Carbon (SBC) working group. Kairo has vast experiences in the conservation, rehabilitation and sustainable utilization of mangrove resources; which has earned him local and international awards. In 2010, Dr Kairo was awarded the Kenya's Presidential Award of the Moran of the Order of the Burning Spear (MBS) for his exemplary work on marine resources management. He was the lead author in the development of the 2013 supplement of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

### Mark Huxham

School of Life, Sport and Social Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, EH11 4BN, Edinburgh, UK

Mark Huxham is Professor of Teaching and Research in Environmental Biology at Edinburgh Napier University. Mark's main research interests are in the ecology and management of coastal ecosystems particularly mangroves and seagrasses. He is Director of the Association for Coastal Ecosystem Services, a charity that helps to administer the world's first community-based mangrove conservation project funded by the sale of carbon credits.